



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Washington

Business Name: **SPOKANE HUTTERIAN**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc</u>	Reardan, WA 99029	\$ 1,616,229.05

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc****Addresses on file with USDA for Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Stevens County, Washington	\$31,657	Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc Reardan, WA 99029
Spokane County, Washington	\$1,581,693	Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc Reardan, WA 99029
Lincoln County, Washington	\$2,879	Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc Reardan, WA 99029
Total	\$1,616,229	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc received payments totaling \$1,616,229 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$47,430	\$0	\$39,631	\$87,061
1996	\$47,430	\$0	\$84,001	\$131,431
1997	\$50,000	\$0	\$41,261	\$91,261
1998	\$10,385	\$0	\$82,724	\$93,109
1999	\$20,432	\$0	\$135,905	\$156,337
2000	\$15,136	\$0	\$192,358	\$207,494
2001	\$15,136	\$0	\$120,042	\$135,178
2002	\$15,136	\$0	\$3,270	\$18,406
2003	\$15,136	\$1,771	\$86,615	\$103,522
2004	\$15,136	\$0	\$48,529	\$63,665
2005	\$15,136	\$7,003	\$162,069	\$184,208
2006	\$60,523	\$0	\$29,490	\$90,013
2007	\$42,656	\$0	\$23,319	\$65,975
2008	\$42,656	\$2,771	\$40,242	\$85,669
2009	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2010	\$70,899	\$0	\$32,000	\$102,899
Total	\$483,227	\$11,545	\$1,121,457	\$1,616,229

Crop Summary for Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$635,973
Barley Subsidies**	\$218,079
Corn Subsidies**	\$141,161
Canola Subsidies**	\$55,973
Potato Subsidies	\$35,702
Livestock Subsidies	\$4,542
Oat Subsidies**	\$2,399

Counties where payments were made from

County	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010
Stevens County, Washington	\$31,657

Spokane County, Washington	\$1,581,693
Lincoln County, Washington	\$2,879
Total	\$1,616,229

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,616,229
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,121,457
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$483,227
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$11,545

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,121,457
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$240,208
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$119
Direct Payment - Barley	\$87,060
Direct Payment - Oats	\$34
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$152,995
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$41,030
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$41,030
Counter Cyclical Payment - Oats	\$0
Counter Cyclical Payment - Wheat	\$0
<i>Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)</i>	\$31,881
ACRE - Direct Payments	\$31,881
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$309,137
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$54,094
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$51
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$254,992
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$139,888
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$131
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$139,757
<i>Oilseed Program</i>	\$10,832
Oilseed Program - Canola	\$10,832
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$15,756
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$13,514
Deficiency - Barley	\$-4,304

Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$26,117
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-19,571
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$239,070
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$19,465
Loan Deficiency - Canola Oil	\$45,141
Loan Deficiency - Corn	\$141,161
Loan Deficiency - Oats	\$2,314
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$45,400
Loan Def. Refund - Wheat	\$-14,411
<i>Marketing Loan Gains</i>	\$57,784
Market Gains Farm - Barley	\$7,090
Market Gains Farm - Wheat	\$50,694
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$35,871
Interest Penalty Payments	\$169
Potato Diversion	\$35,702

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$483,227
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$483,227
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$460,064
CRP - Cost Share	\$23,163

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$11,545
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$7,003
Crop Disaster - Program	\$7,003
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$1,771
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$1,771
Livestock Compensation Program	\$2,771

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc**

Ownership shares for Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc

Pick year for ownership information 

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Spokane Hutterian Brethren Inc ownership information from 2010 Spokane County, Washington	
Rachel Hofer Gross	N/A*
Abigail Rita Gross	N/A*
Philip J Gross	N/A*
Sharon Ruth Gross	N/A*
Chris John Gross	N/A*
Edward George Gross	N/A*
Joann Mae Benning	N/A*
Judy D Gross	N/A*
Timothy P Gross	N/A*
Leonard Gross	N/A*
Lena Gross	N/A*
Jacob Gross Jr	N/A*
Margaret Gross	N/A*
Sarah P Gross	N/A*
Barbara Gross	N/A*
William P Gross	N/A*
Paul Gross	N/A*
Daniel Gross	N/A*
Sam Gross	N/A*
Rachel Kay Gross	N/A*
Paul Allen Gross	N/A*
Sara R Gross	N/A*
Eli Samuel Gross	N/A*
Daniel J Gross	N/A*
James P Gross	N/A*
Rachel Gross	N/A*

<u>David D Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Peter John Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Conrad W Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Mark A Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Lois D Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Freida Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Christina R Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Dorothy M Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth R Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Charles R Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Paul Steven Walter</u>	N/A*
<u>David James Walter</u>	N/A*
<u>Kathryn W Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Joseph Z Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Julie Marie Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Gary Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Lynore Sarah Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Steven A Benning</u>	N/A*
<u>Jessica Melody Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara Jean Benning</u>	N/A*
<u>Janet Susan Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Katie Leanna Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Robert Benning</u>	N/A*
<u>Lisa Marie Benning</u>	N/A*
<u>Jethro Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Melinda S Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Erik Jason Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Ruthie Amelia Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Donna Ruth Benning</u>	N/A*
<u>Tamara Elizabeth Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Theodore L Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Theresa Stahl Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Linda S Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Larry Michael Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Jennifer Laurie Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Jared J Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Katherine Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Helen Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Anthony K Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Clifford J Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Sheryl A Benning</u>	N/A*
<u>Leneah S Gross</u>	N/A*

<u>Alexander M Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Michael T Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Howard D Walter</u>	N/A*
<u>Debbie P Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Andrea G Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Isaiah P Walter</u>	N/A*
<u>Linda Walter</u>	N/A*
<u>Melissa K Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Clara Walter</u>	N/A*
<u>Crystal D Gross</u>	N/A*
<u>Noah J Gross</u>	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Washington

Business Name: **STAHL HUTTERIAN BRETHERN**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Stahl Hutterian Brethren</u>	Ritzville, WA 99169	\$ 2,699,380.37

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Stahl Hutterian Brethren**

Addresses on file with USDA for Stahl Hutterian Brethren

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Umatilla County, Oregon	\$118,669	Stahl Hutterian Brethren Stanfield, OR 97875
Adams County, Washington	\$2,452,844	Stahl Hutterian Brethren Ritzville, WA 99169
Grant County, Washington	\$127,868	Stahl Hutterian Brethren Ritzville, WA 99169
Lincoln County, Washington	\$0	Stahl Hutterian Brethren Ritzville, WA 99169
Total	\$2,699,380	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Stahl Hutterian Brethren received payments totaling \$2,699,380 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$47,091	\$0	\$50,000	\$97,091
1996	\$47,091	\$0	\$48,168	\$95,259
1997	\$46,591	\$0	\$40,000	\$86,591
1998	\$26,531	\$0	\$174,888	\$201,419
1999	\$50,000	\$0	\$155,102	\$205,102
2000	\$72,044	\$0	\$400,012	\$472,056
2001	\$101,592	\$0	\$156,892	\$258,484
2002	\$50,000	\$0	\$60,564	\$110,564
2003	\$50,000	\$0	\$133,190	\$183,190
2004	\$50,000	\$0	\$123,677	\$173,677
2005	\$50,000	\$47,485	\$131,633	\$229,118
2006	\$50,000	\$0	\$109,678	\$159,678
2007	\$50,000	\$0	\$40,000	\$90,000
2008	\$55,031	\$0	\$40,000	\$95,031
2009	\$26,941	\$0	\$65,897	\$92,837
2010	\$73,345	\$0	\$75,938	\$149,283
Total	\$846,256	\$47,485	\$1,805,639	\$2,699,380

Crop Summary for Stahl Hutterian Brethren

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$1,151,065
Dry Pea Subsidies	\$225,000
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$198,262
Potato Subsidies	\$82,202
Canola Subsidies**	\$42,869
Corn Subsidies**	\$25,479
Barley Subsidies**	\$6,201
Mustard Seed Subsidies**	\$659

Counties where payments were made from

County	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010
--------	----------------------------

Umatilla County, Oregon	\$118,669
Adams County, Washington	\$2,452,844
Grant County, Washington	\$127,868
Lincoln County, Washington	\$0
Total	\$2,699,380

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Stahl Hutterian Brethren****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$2,699,380
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,805,639
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$846,256
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$47,485

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,805,639
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$240,117
Direct Payment - Barley	\$478
Direct Payment - Canola	\$160
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$239,479
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$29,188
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$3,709
Counter Cyclical Payment - Corn	\$25,479
<i>Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)</i>	\$73,000
ACRE - Revenue Support	\$73,000
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$329,883
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$1,485
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$328,398
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$139,888
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$529
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$139,359
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$34,512
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$34,512
<i>Oilseed Program</i>	\$14,349
Oilseed Program - Canola	\$13,690
Oilseed Program - Mustard	\$659
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$0
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$50,000
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-50,000

<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$406,549
Loan Deficiency - Canola Oil	\$29,019
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$152,530
Loan Deficiency - Dry Peas	\$225,000
<i>Total Commodity Certificates</i>	\$162,284
Commodity Certificates - Wheat	\$162,284
<i>Marketing Loan Gains</i>	\$129,015
Market Gains Warehouse - Wheat	\$129,015
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$163,750
Milk Income Loss Contract Payment	\$117,662
Milk Income Loss Transitional Payment	\$20,564
Milk Marketing Fees	\$8,168
Dairy Economic Loss Assistance Program	\$17,356
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$83,104
Interest Penalty Payments	\$902
Potato Diversion	\$82,202

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$846,256
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$846,256
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$767,589
CRP - Cost Share	\$78,667

Disaster subsidy breakdown


Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$47,485
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$47,485
Crop Disaster - Program	\$47,485

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Stahl Hutterian Brethren****Ownership shares for Stahl Hutterian Brethren**Pick year for ownership information 

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Stahl Hutterian Brethren	
ownership information from 2010	
Adams County, Washington	
Priscila J Stahl	N/A*
Jordan J Stahl	N/A*
Matthew L Stahl	N/A*
Megan E Stahl	N/A*
Mike E Stahl	N/A*
Clara E Stahl	N/A*
Andy E Stahl	N/A*
Lorraine K Stahl	N/A*
John P Stahl	N/A*
Ruthann B Stahl	N/A*
Ronald A Stahl	N/A*
Janna M Stahl	N/A*
Brandon N Stahl	N/A*
Adam E Stahl	N/A*
Caleb B Stahl	N/A*
Philip J Stahl	N/A*
Katherine M Stahl	N/A*
Christy M Stahl	N/A*
Melissa A Stahl	N/A*
Rachel K Stahl	N/A*
Amy P Stahl	N/A*
Christopher B Stahl	N/A*
Rueben I Stahl	N/A*
Jacob Stahl	N/A*
Susie Stahl	N/A*
Elizabeth Stahl	N/A*

<u>Michial D Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Isaac B Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Tabitha L Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Naomi G Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Jason J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Kevin J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Brian E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Jared J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Joanne E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Andrew Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Rosanna M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>James R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Sam J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>David E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Emma Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Rebecca Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>John Stahl Jr</u>	N/A*
<u>Edward Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth L Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Elias Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Joseph E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Susan M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Gary A Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Nathan K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Tamara A Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Susannah Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Paul Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Rachel K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Judy K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Travis A Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Michelle C Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Kimberly S Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Cathleen S Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Lydia J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Kayla C Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Irene D Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Valerie K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Heidi D Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Eric J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Arnold J Stahl</u>	N/A*

<u>Martha M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Angela M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Dale W Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Stacey R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Bill Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Walter M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Susan M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Jennifer B Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Wynell A Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Lisa J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Karolyn S Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Beverly R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Martin J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Stephen M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Julianne G Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Titus K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Curtis M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Donna K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Glenda Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>April P Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Ethan S Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Natalie D Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Ryan H Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Robert T Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Zachary M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Gabrielle H Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Connor M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Joeann Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Jasmine E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Aidan J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Clark E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Sydney E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Elana H Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Kayne B Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Joseph H Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Shannon R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Darren B Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Benjamin P Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Linda M Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Katie R Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Daniel E Stahl</u>	N/A*

<u>Joel F Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Mark S Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Albert Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Thomas J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Henry Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Marvin P Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Samuel J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Micah E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Jacqueline K Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Victoria E Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Vanessa C Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Jeremiah L Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Rosanna J Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara Stahl</u>	N/A*
<u>Priscilla K Stahl</u>	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.