



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients **1** to **1** of **1** in Montana

Business Name: **BIRCH CREEK COLONY**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Birch Creek Colony</u>	Valier, MT 59486	\$ 1,833,601.29

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Birch Creek Colony****Addresses on file with USDA for Birch Creek Colony**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Pondera County, Montana	\$1,803,401	Birch Creek Colony Valier, MT 59486
Total	\$1,833,601	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Birch Creek Colony received payments totaling **\$1,833,601** from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$47,784	\$47,784
1996	\$1,885	\$0	\$54,950	\$56,835
1997	\$1,950	\$0	\$41,704	\$43,654
1998	\$0	\$0	\$132,222	\$132,222
1999	\$0	\$35,057	\$99,300	\$134,357
2000	\$2,745	\$55,680	\$128,981	\$187,406
2001	\$0	\$82,272	\$85,630	\$167,902
2002	\$0	\$17,031	\$44,648	\$61,679
2003	\$0	\$0	\$70,229	\$70,229
2004	\$2,493	\$47,442	\$87,481	\$137,416
2005	\$0	\$44,773	\$85,023	\$129,797
2006	\$0	\$0	\$106,571	\$106,571
2007	\$0	\$0	\$42,734	\$42,734
2008	\$30,200	\$58,194	\$39,003	\$127,397
2009	\$112,095	\$0	\$143,701	\$255,796
2010	\$0	\$0	\$131,820	\$131,820
Total	\$151,368	\$340,449	\$1,341,784	\$1,833,601

Crop Summary for Birch Creek Colony

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Barley Subsidies**	\$521,651
Wheat Subsidies**	\$457,107
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$177,429
Dry Pea Subsidies	\$31,417
Canola Subsidies**	\$24,883
Livestock Subsidies	\$24,845
Oat Subsidies**	\$148

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.



USDA subsidy information for **Birch Creek Colony**

Payment Breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,833,601
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,341,784
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$151,368
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$340,449

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,341,784
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$326,661
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$97,825
Direct Payment - Barley	\$115,458
Direct Payment - Canola	\$1,316
Direct Payment - Oats	\$134
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$111,928
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$22,192
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$22,192
Counter Cyclical Payment - Oats	\$0
Counter Cyclical Payment - Wheat	\$0
<i>Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)</i>	\$31,049
ACRE - Direct Payments	\$15,893
ACRE - Revenue Support	\$15,156
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$267,804
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$118,543
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$8
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$149,253
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$123,546
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$49,550
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$6
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$73,990
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$26,140
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$26,140

<i>Oilseed Program</i>	\$5,058
Oilseed Program - Canola	\$5,058
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$0
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$27,237
Deficiency - Barley	\$-27,237
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$17,845
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-17,845
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$335,815
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$163,953
Loan Deficiency - Canola Oil	\$18,509
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$121,936
Loan Deficiency - Dry Peas	\$31,417
<i>Marketing Loan Gains</i>	\$51,955
Market Gains Farm - Barley	\$51,955
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$151,289
Milk Income Loss Contract Payment	\$110,415
Milk Income Loss Transitional Payment	\$22,260
Milk Marketing Fees	\$5,365
Dairy Economic Loss Assistance Program	\$13,248
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$276
Interest Penalty Payments	\$276

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$151,368
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$147,533
EQIP - Regular	\$147,533
<i>Agricultural Conservation Program</i>	\$3,835
ACP - Regular	\$3,835

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$340,449
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$315,604
Crop Disaster - Program	\$285,116
Non-insured Assistance	\$2,100
Quality Losses Program	\$28,388
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$5,889
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$5,889
Livestock Compensation Program	\$18,956

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

USDA subsidy information for **Birch Creek Colony****Ownership shares for Birch Creek Colony**

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Birch Creek Colony	
ownership information from 2010	
Pondera County, Montana	
Laura J Waldner	N/A*
Naomi P Waldner	N/A*
Anita W Kleinsasser	N/A*
Hiedi R Waldner	N/A*
Elizabeth E Kleinsasser	N/A*
Jordan P Waldner	N/A*
Donna E Kleinsasser	N/A*
Rhonda P Kleinsasser	N/A*
Jesse P Waldner	N/A*
Leonard G Waldner	N/A*
Elizabeth C Waldner	N/A*
Rachel T Waldner	N/A*
Clara J Kleinsasser	N/A*
George J Waldner	N/A*
Rueben J Waldner	N/A*
John J Kleinsasser	N/A*
Peter M Kleinsasser	N/A*
Annie J Kleinsasser	N/A*
William M Kleinsasser	N/A*
Paul G Waldner	N/A*
Elizabeth R Waldner	N/A*
Julia P Waldner	N/A*
Martin M Kleinsasser	N/A*
Linda P Kleinsasser	N/A*
John G Waldner	N/A*
Leona J Kleinsasser	N/A*

<u>David J Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Donnie J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Justina I Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Junia J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Edward J Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Joseph J Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Theresa R Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Diana P Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Anna G Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Tamara R Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Luke J Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Brenda P Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Gideon G Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rosanna E Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Dennis P Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Tina W Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Bryan I Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Kathleen G Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Isaac J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Julius J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Annie J Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Christina J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Magdalena G Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth I Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Dorothy W Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Arnold J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Sara P Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Ronald J Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Cameron J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Sandra E Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Kevin W Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Justin G Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rachel P Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Edward E Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Marvin P Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Jeremiah J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jessica J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Esther W Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Jonathan R Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Michelle E Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Matilda D Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Pauline P Waldner</u>	N/A*

<u>Julius J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>George M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Annie W Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Melissa E Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>David D Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Dora E Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>George G Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Travis D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rosemarie A Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Roseanne J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Albert J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jeremiah J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Amy A Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Kathryn D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Marianne D Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Sheldon J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Daren A Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Zachary A Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Mryln D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Kyrstal P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Elsie M Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Lillian R Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Jacob J Waldner</u>	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: MOUNTAIN RIDGE FARMS

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Mountain Ridge Farms Inc</u>	Valier, MT 59486	\$ 822,265.24

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Mountain Ridge Farms Inc****Addresses on file with USDA for Mountain Ridge Farms Inc**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Pondera County, Montana	\$815,965	Mountain Ridge Farms Inc Valier, MT 59486
Total	\$822,265	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

Mountain Ridge Farms Inc received payments totaling \$822,265 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1996	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1997	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1998	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1999	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2000	\$0	\$0	\$110,162	\$110,162
2001	\$0	\$75,367	\$68,109	\$143,476
2002	\$0	\$552	\$19,136	\$19,688
2003	\$0	\$0	\$16,634	\$16,634
2004	\$0	\$50,385	\$77,485	\$127,870
2005	\$0	\$40,775	\$71,513	\$112,288
2006	\$6,300	\$0	\$41,873	\$48,173
2007	\$0	\$0	\$39,317	\$39,317
2008	\$0	\$50,374	\$29,984	\$80,358
2009	\$0	\$0	\$23,500	\$23,500
2010	\$0	\$0	\$100,800	\$100,800
Total	\$6,300	\$217,453	\$598,512	\$822,265

Crop Summary for Mountain Ridge Farms Inc

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Barley Subsidies**	\$290,744
Wheat Subsidies**	\$155,772
Dry Pea Subsidies	\$20,790
Canola Subsidies**	\$6,903
Oat Subsidies**	\$4

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large

USDA subsidy information for Mountain Ridge Farms Inc

Payment Breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$822,265
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$598,512
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$6,300
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$217,453

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$598,512
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$190,472
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$6,423
Direct Payment - Barley	\$101,341
Direct Payment - Canola	\$42
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$82,666
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$19,199
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$19,199
Counter Cyclical Payment - Wheat	\$0
<i>Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)</i>	\$117,877
ACRE - Direct Payments	\$47,000
ACRE - Revenue Support	\$70,877
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$84,793
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$45,187
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$2
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$39,604
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$64,961
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$34,353
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$2
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$30,606
<i>Oilseed Program</i>	\$1,737
Oilseed Program - Canola	\$1,737
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$92,174
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$72,697

Loan Deficiency - Canola Oil	\$5,124
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$3,727
Loan Def. Refund - Wheat	\$-832
Loan Deficiency - Dry Peas	\$11,457
<i>Marketing Loan Gains</i>	\$27,300
Market Gains Farm - Barley	\$17,967
Market Gains Farm - Dry Peas	\$9,333

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$6,300
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$6,300
EQIP - Regular	\$6,300

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$217,453
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$217,453
Crop Disaster - Program	\$206,765
Non-insured Assistance	\$552
Quality Losses Program	\$10,136

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for Mountain Ridge Farms Inc

Ownership shares for Mountain Ridge Farms Inc

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Mountain Ridge Farms Inc ownership information from 2010 Pondera County, Montana	
Mary J Waldner	N/A*
Michael S Waldner	N/A*
Joseph W Waldner	N/A*
Dorothy W Waldner	N/A*
Sarah S Waldner	N/A*
Sam S Waldner	N/A*
Benjamin M Kleinsasser	N/A*
William I Waldner	N/A*
Brenda J Waldner	N/A*
Emma C Kleinsasser	N/A*
Joseph I Waldner	N/A*
Gary S Waldner	N/A*
Lina J Wipf	N/A*
Jacob M Waldner	N/A*
William W Waldner	N/A*
Chris B Kleinsasser	N/A*
Abigail B Kleinsasser	N/A*
Mike M Kleinsasser	N/A*
Jason T Waldner	N/A*
Marie W Waldner	N/A*
Adam B Kleinsasser	N/A*
Brendon B Kleinsasser	N/A*
Jace J Waldner	N/A*
Benjamin B Kleinsasser	N/A*
Adrin G Waldner	N/A*
Waylon J Waldner	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

MELISSA DATA[Sign In](#) | [Cart](#) | [Newsletters](#) | [Search](#)

1-800-MELISSA

[Products](#)[Solutions](#)[Downloads & Trials](#)[Support](#)[Resources](#)[Lookups](#)[Contact Us](#)[Free Lookups](#)[Home](#) > [Lookups](#) > Nonprofit Organization Lookup[Help](#)

**Be the One-Stop Shop for Printing & Mailing**
1-800-MELISSA [try it for free](#) **MELISSA DATA**

Enter a 5-digit ZIP Code,
organization name or 9-digit Tax ID
[Submit](#)

Use this Lookup to:

- Find information on over 1.4 million registered nonprofit organizations in the United States
- Get organization name, assets, income, and IRS subsection
- Select organization for contact name and address, type of foundation and organization
- Get deductibility status, classification, Form 990, and more

MOUNTAIN RIDGE FARMS INC

In Care of Name	HAMILTON MISFELDT & CO
Address	PO BOX 417 VALIER, MT 59486-0417 MapG MapY MapV
IRS Subsection	501(d) - A religious and apostolic association.
Type of Organization	Corporation
Deductibility	Contributions are NOT deductible
Tax I.D. Number	810531756
Exempt Since	05-2009
Form 990 Requirement	Not required to file 990
Classification	Religion Related, Spiritual Development N.E.C.

Share with others information about this Nonprofit - like the web site, e-mail address, how to donate or any corrections to the data shown.

[Post a Message](#)

Messages

[How Can We Improve this Lookup?](#) | [Send to a Friend](#) | [Lookups Forum](#) | [Terms of Use](#)



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **CASCADE**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Cascade Colony Inc</u>	Sun River, MT 59483	\$ 1,695,213.35

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Cascade Colony Inc**

Addresses on file with USDA for Cascade Colony Inc

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Cascade County, Montana	\$1,665,016	Cascade Colony Inc Sun River, MT 59483
Total	\$1,695,213	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

**Cascade Colony Inc received payments totaling \$1,695,213 from 1995 through 2010**

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$30,926	\$30,926
1996	\$2,110	\$0	\$52,430	\$54,540
1997	\$0	\$0	\$40,000	\$40,000
1998	\$0	\$0	\$99,384	\$99,384
1999	\$0	\$44,188	\$123,978	\$168,166
2000	\$16,470	\$39,879	\$138,098	\$194,447
2001	\$26,300	\$106,023	\$104,043	\$236,366
2002	\$8,275	\$31,557	\$82,486	\$122,318
2003	\$0	\$28,852	\$84,865	\$113,717
2004	\$0	\$7,849	\$87,751	\$95,600
2005	\$5,251	\$84,811	\$80,449	\$170,511
2006	\$24,946	\$0	\$78,708	\$103,654
2007	\$0	\$0	\$43,555	\$43,555
2008	\$0	\$18,129	\$41,160	\$59,289
2009	\$0	\$0	\$80,680	\$80,680
2010	\$0	\$0	\$82,060	\$82,060
Total	\$83,352	\$361,288	\$1,250,573	\$1,695,213

Crop Summary for Cascade Colony Inc

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$540,875
Barley Subsidies**	\$343,991
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$172,137
Livestock Subsidies	\$73,732
Wool Subsidies	\$47,546
Sheep Meat Subsidies	\$26,791
Canola Subsidies**	\$10,309
Oat Subsidies**	\$1,911
Corn Subsidies**	\$126



USDA subsidy information for **Cascade Colony Inc**

Payment Breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,695,213
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,250,573
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$83,352
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$361,288

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,250,573
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$246,441
Direct Payment - Barley	\$41,343
Direct Payment - Canola	\$1,101
Direct Payment - Corn	\$62
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$203,935
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$21,562
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$21,498
Counter Cyclical Payment - Corn	\$64
<i>Average Crop Revenue Election Program (ACRE)</i>	\$106,840
ACRE - Direct Payments	\$34,207
ACRE - Revenue Support	\$72,633
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$285,581
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$95,148
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$864
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$189,569
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$139,559
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$45,226
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$496
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$93,837
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$35,909
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$26,634
Market Loss Assistance - Wool	\$9,276
<i>Oilseed Program</i>	\$3,557

Oilseed Program - Canola	\$3,557
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$1,269
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$14,159
Deficiency - Barley	\$-13,441
Advance Deficiency - Oats	\$180
Deficiency - Oats	\$371
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$1,086
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-1,086
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$218,542
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$140,955
Loan Def. Refund - Barley	\$-897
Loan Deficiency - Canola Oil	\$5,651
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$54,188
Loan Def. Refund - Wheat	\$-654
Loan Deficiency - Wool	\$16,795
Loan Deficiency - Unshorn Pelts	\$2,503
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$145,504
Milk Income Loss Contract Payment	\$105,050
Milk Income Loss Transitional Payment	\$24,478
Milk Marketing Fees	\$3,692
Dairy Economic Loss Assistance Program	\$12,284
<i>Wool And Mohair Programs</i>	\$21,476
Wool Promotion	\$2,029
Wool Program	\$19,447
<i>Total Lamb Payments</i>	\$24,288
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Ewe	\$9,144
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Facility	\$382
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Feeder	\$10,944
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Ewe Lamb Replacement And Retention	\$2,718
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Rams	\$1,100
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$46
Interest Penalty Payments	\$46

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$83,352
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$30,197
EQIP - Regular	\$30,197
<i>Agricultural Conservation Program</i>	\$2,110
ACP - Regular	\$2,110
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$51,045
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$51,045

Disaster subsidy breakdown


Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$361,288
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$287,556
Crop Disaster - Program	\$278,841
Non-insured Assistance	\$8,715
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$44,358
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$44,358
Livestock Compensation Program	\$29,374

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Cascade Colony Inc****Ownership shares for Cascade Colony Inc**Pick year for ownership information 

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Cascade Colony Inc ownership information from 2008 Cascade County, Montana	
<u>Members Of Cascade Colony</u>	100.00%

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Members Of Cascade Colony**

Recipient's ownership share(s)

Recipient:	County	Percentage Share*
	Cascade County, Montana	100.0 %
<u>Cascade Colony Inc</u>	Cascade County, Montana	100.0 %
	Cascade County, Montana	100.0 %

* Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not equal 100 percent.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **DEERFIELD**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Deerfield Colony</u>	Lewistown, MT 59457	\$ 1,395,798.58

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Deerfield Colony**

Addresses on file with USDA for Deerfield Colony

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Fergus County, Montana	\$1,395,799	Deerfield Colony Lewistown, MT 59457
Total	\$1,395,799	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

Deerfield Colony received payments totaling \$1,395,799 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$32,569	\$32,569
1996	\$0	\$0	\$59,723	\$59,723
1997	\$0	\$0	\$42,667	\$42,667
1998	\$0	\$0	\$105,968	\$105,968
1999	\$0	\$0	\$155,631	\$155,631
2000	\$1,913	\$0	\$166,515	\$168,428
2001	\$0	\$36,132	\$131,287	\$167,419
2002	\$39,065	\$27,853	\$34,100	\$101,018
2003	\$0	\$5,980	\$70,476	\$76,456
2004	\$7,128	\$0	\$71,552	\$78,680
2005	\$0	\$28,317	\$103,308	\$131,625
2006	\$0	\$0	\$37,058	\$37,058
2007	\$0	\$0	\$41,866	\$41,866
2008	\$0	\$18,365	\$40,000	\$58,365
2009	\$0	\$0	\$92,167	\$92,167
2010	\$0	\$0	\$46,158	\$46,158
Total	\$48,106	\$116,647	\$1,231,046	\$1,395,799

Crop Summary for Deerfield Colony

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$680,577
Barley Subsidies**	\$289,141
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$171,133
Livestock Subsidies	\$41,013
Oat Subsidies**	\$10,506

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

USDA subsidy information for **Deerfield Colony****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,395,799
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,231,046
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$48,106
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$116,647

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,231,046
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$319,714
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$79,688
Direct Payment - Barley	\$56,260
Direct Payment - Oats	\$358
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$183,408
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$22,355
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$22,355
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$300,543
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$62,912
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$862
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$236,769
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$139,888
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$10,995
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$490
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$128,403
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$20,495
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$20,495
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$5,470
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$12,022
Deficiency - Barley	\$-6,552
Advance Deficiency - Oats	\$238
Deficiency - Oats	\$-238
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$20,309

Deficiency - Wheat	\$-20,309
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$271,943
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$131,149
Loan Deficiency - Oats	\$8,796
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$131,997
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$150,638
Milk Income Loss Contract Payment	\$115,316
Milk Income Loss Transitional Payment	\$22,201
Dairy Economic Loss Assistance Program	\$13,121

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$48,106
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$7,128
EQIP - Regular	\$7,128
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$40,978
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$40,978

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$116,647
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$75,634
Crop Disaster - Program	\$65,746
Non-insured Assistance	\$9,888
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$21,168
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$21,168
Livestock Compensation Program	\$19,845

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Deerfield Colony**

Ownership shares for **Deerfield Colony**

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:

Percentage
Share*

Deerfield Colony
ownership information from 2008
Fergus County, Montana

Members Of Deerfield Colony

100.00%

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Members Of Deerfield Colony****Recipient's ownership share(s)**

Recipient:	County	Percentage Share*
	Fergus County, Montana	100.0 %
<u>Deerfield Colony</u>	Fergus County, Montana	100.0 %
	Fergus County, Montana	100.0 %

* Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not equal 100 percent.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



1-800-MELISSA

[Products](#)[Solutions](#)[Downloads & Trials](#)[Support](#)[Resources](#)[Lookups](#)[Contact Us](#)[Sign In](#) | [Cart](#) | [Newsletters](#) | [Search](#)[Free Lookups](#)[Home](#) > [Lookups](#) > **Nonprofit Organization Lookup**[Help](#)**Real-time data cleansing** from your **server** or ours**FREE** trial ▶Enter a 5-digit ZIP Code,
organization name or 9-digit Tax ID**Use this LookUp to:**

- Find information on over 1.4 million registered nonprofit organizations in the United States
- Get organization name, assets, income, and IRS subsection
- Select organization for contact name and address, type of foundation and organization
- Get deductibility status, classification, Form 990, and more

HUTTERIAN BRETHREN OF DEERFIELD AS A CHURCH

	705 DEERFIELD RD
Address	LEWISTOWN, MT 59457-2236
	MapG MapY MapV
IRS Subsection	501(d) - A religious and apostolic association.
Type of Organization	Corporation
Deductibility	Contributions are NOT deductible
Tax I.D. Number	816012645
Exempt Since	07-1967
Form 990 Requirement	Not required to file 990

Activity #1**Farming**Activity #2**Other religious activities**

Share with others information about this Nonprofit - like the web site, e-mail address, how to donate or any corrections to the data shown.

[Messages](#)[How Can We Improve this Lookup?](#) | [Send to a Friend](#) | [Lookups Forum](#) | [Terms of Use](#)



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **EAST MALTA HUTTERIAN**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>East Malta Hutterian Brethren</u>	Malta, MT 59538	\$ 1,210,967.79

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **East Malta Hutterian Brethren****Addresses on file with USDA for East Malta Hutterian Brethren**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Phillips County, Montana	\$1,210,968	East Malta Hutterian Brethren Malta, MT 59538
Total	\$1,210,968	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



East Malta Hutterian Brethren received payments totaling \$1,210,968 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$38,627	\$0	\$18,612	\$57,239
1996	\$36,629	\$0	\$25,863	\$62,492
1997	\$19,976	\$0	\$51,353	\$71,329
1998	\$7,078	\$0	\$83,140	\$90,218
1999	\$7,078	\$23,924	\$97,092	\$128,094
2000	\$7,078	\$0	\$106,400	\$113,478
2001	\$7,078	\$68,931	\$72,649	\$148,658
2002	\$7,078	\$22,595	\$18,972	\$48,645
2003	\$7,078	\$82,285	\$40,376	\$129,739
2004	\$7,078	\$0	\$46,920	\$53,998
2005	\$7,078	\$11,776	\$20,707	\$39,561
2006	\$7,078	\$0	\$47,463	\$54,541
2007	\$7,078	\$0	\$40,114	\$47,192
2008	\$6,995	\$20,052	\$40,000	\$67,047
2009	\$11,542	\$0	\$40,008	\$51,550
2010	\$7,187	\$0	\$40,000	\$47,187
Total	\$191,735	\$229,563	\$789,670	\$1,210,968

Crop Summary for East Malta Hutterian Brethren

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$612,948
Barley Subsidies**	\$89,107
Livestock Subsidies	\$23,286
Dry Pea Subsidies	\$3,474
Oat Subsidies**	\$2,147
Wool Subsidies	\$26

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large

USDA subsidy information for **East Malta Hutterian Brethren****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,210,968
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$789,670
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$191,735
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$229,563

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$789,670
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$314,263
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$80,008
Direct Payment - Barley	\$18,672
Direct Payment - Oats	\$289
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$215,294
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$8,665
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$8,665
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$240,622
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$32,865
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$841
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$206,916
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$124,405
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$19,081
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$430
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$104,894
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$1,329
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$2,300
Deficiency - Barley	\$-1,558
Advance Deficiency - Oats	\$245
Deficiency - Oats	\$342
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$15,627
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-15,627
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$91,878

Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$9,082
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$79,297
Loan Deficiency - Wool	\$26
Loan Deficiency - Dry Peas	\$3,474
<i>Marketing Loan Gains</i>	\$6,547
Market Gains Farm - Wheat	\$6,547
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$1,960
Small Hog Operation	\$1,960

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$191,735
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$187,012
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$189,010
CRP - Haying / Grazing	\$-1,998
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$4,724
EQIP - Regular	\$4,724

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$229,563
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$208,237
Crop Disaster - Program	\$164,830
Non-insured Assistance	\$43,407
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$10,716
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$10,716
Livestock Compensation Program	\$10,610

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **East Malta Hutterian Brethren****Ownership shares for East Malta Hutterian Brethren**

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:

Percentage
Share*

East Malta Hutterian Brethren
ownership information from 2010
Phillips County, Montana

Mervin Micheal Hofer	N/A*
Shirley Hofer	N/A*
John Hofer Sr	N/A*
Kathy P Hofer	N/A*
Kenneth Peter Hofer	N/A*
George J Hofer	N/A*
Rachel Hofer	N/A*
Steven James Hofer	N/A*
William D Hofer	N/A*
Brandon Lee Hofer	N/A*
Marie Hofer	N/A*
Lydia D Hofer	N/A*
Matilda Hofer	N/A*
Barbra D Hofer	N/A*
Johnny Hofer Jr	N/A*
Ester Hofer	N/A*
Janet O Hofer	N/A*
Alexandria Hofer	N/A*
Barbara Hofer	N/A*
Richard M Hofer	N/A*
Danny P Hofer	N/A*
Tammie L Hofer	N/A*
Amy R Hofer	N/A*
Mariya Hofer	N/A*
George D Hofer	N/A*
Paul D Hofer	N/A*

<u>Gabriel J Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>David Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>James G Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Susan Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Carol Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Hayli Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Laurie Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Sophia Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Gavin Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Jacob Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Chloe Hofer</u>	N/A*
<u>Austin M Hofer</u>	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients **1** to **1** of **1** in Montana

Business Name: **FAIRHAVEN**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Fairhaven Colony Inc</u>	Ulm, MT 59485	\$ 1,933,690.38

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Fairhaven Colony Inc****Addresses on file with USDA for Fairhaven Colony Inc**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Cascade County, Montana	\$1,917,755	Fairhaven Colony Inc Ulm, MT 59485
Total	\$1,933,690	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

Fairhaven Colony Inc received payments totaling \$1,933,690 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$50,000	\$0	\$59,591	\$109,591
1996	\$47,273	\$0	\$93,911	\$141,184
1997	\$54,663	\$0	\$40,000	\$94,663
1998	\$38,900	\$0	\$94,730	\$133,630
1999	\$19,855	\$67,920	\$131,449	\$219,224
2000	\$1,077	\$43,026	\$127,140	\$171,243
2001	\$3,830	\$91,059	\$94,373	\$189,262
2002	\$1,077	\$16,274	\$98,782	\$116,133
2003	\$1,077	\$62,595	\$50,853	\$114,525
2004	\$1,077	\$0	\$80,416	\$81,493
2005	\$7,962	\$67,006	\$61,967	\$136,935
2006	\$8,981	\$0	\$36,067	\$45,048
2007	\$2,156	\$0	\$42,006	\$44,162
2008	\$1,052	\$13,336	\$40,000	\$54,388
2009	\$132,300	\$0	\$99,618	\$231,918
2010	\$1,060	\$0	\$49,231	\$50,291
Total	\$372,341	\$361,216	\$1,200,134	\$1,933,690

Crop Summary for Fairhaven Colony Inc

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$728,574
Barley Subsidies**	\$172,453
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$168,138
Livestock Subsidies	\$37,485
Wool Subsidies	\$29,857
Sheep Meat Subsidies	\$15,359
Canola Subsidies**	\$6,433
Oat Subsidies**	\$4

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

USDA subsidy information for **Fairhaven Colony Inc****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,933,690
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,200,134
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$372,341
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$361,216

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,200,134
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$319,613
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$79,221
Direct Payment - Barley	\$50,231
Direct Payment - Oats	\$4
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$190,157
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$13,854
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$13,854
Counter Cyclical Payment - Wheat	\$0
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$329,608
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$30,137
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$299,471
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$139,888
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$11,610
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$128,278
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$30,751
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$22,123
Market Loss Assistance - Wool	\$8,629
<i>Oilseed Program</i>	\$1,157
Oilseed Program - Canola	\$1,157
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$1,293
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$19,491
Deficiency - Barley	\$-19,152
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$26,066

Deficiency - Wheat	\$-25,112
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$182,855
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$66,282
Loan Deficiency - Canola Oil	\$5,276
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$109,714
Loan Deficiency - Wool	\$1,583
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$146,015
Milk Income Loss Contract Payment	\$111,253
Milk Income Loss Transitional Payment	\$16,682
Milk Marketing Fees	\$2,564
Dairy Economic Loss Assistance Program	\$15,517
<i>Wool And Mohair Programs</i>	\$19,645
Wool Promotion	\$1,822
Wool Program	\$17,823
<i>Total Lamb Payments</i>	\$15,359
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Ewe	\$5,580
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Facility	\$1,120
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Feeder	\$7,659
Lamb Meat Adjustment - Rams	\$1,000
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$94
Interest Penalty Payments	\$94

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$372,341
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$222,447
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$220,266
CRP - Cost Share	\$4,908
CRP - Haying / Grazing	\$-2,727
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$147,140
EQIP - Regular	\$147,140
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$2,753
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$2,753

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$361,216
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$323,731
Crop Disaster - Program	\$320,480
Non-insured Assistance	\$3,251
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$20,919
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$20,919

Livestock Compensation Program

\$16,566

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Fairhaven Colony Inc****Ownership shares for Fairhaven Colony Inc**

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Fairhaven Colony Inc	
ownership information from 2010	
Cascade County, Montana	
Hilda J Hofer	N/A*
Dora E Kleinsasser	N/A*
Dina Waldner	N/A*
Sarah I Wurz	N/A*
Magdalena J Wurz	N/A*
Esther Kleinsasser	N/A*
Elizabeth M Waldner	N/A*
Martha P Wipf	N/A*
Edward P Kleinsasser	N/A*
Amos J Kleinsasser	N/A*
Ben J Kleinsasser	N/A*
Lydia T Waldner	N/A*
Elsie J Kleinsasser	N/A*
Magdalena Entz	N/A*
Mary Entz	N/A*
Theodore T Waldner	N/A*
Mandel D Waldner	N/A*
Annie J Waldner	N/A*
Caleb T Waldner	N/A*
Joseph J Waldner Jr	N/A*
Tabitha E Waldner	N/A*
Miriam E Waldner	N/A*
Ruth E Waldner	N/A*
Martin B Kleinsasser	N/A*
Glenn J Waldner	N/A*
Joseph E Waldner	N/A*

<u>Mike E Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rachel E Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Frieda M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Emma C Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Mark T Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>John J Waldner Jr</u>	N/A*
<u>Benjamin B Kleinsasser</u>	N/A*
<u>Michael E Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Thomas M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rosanna C Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara E Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>John C Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Albert C Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Michelle Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Marvin M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Freida M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Amy J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jordan Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Justin J Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Randy C Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jennifer M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Brandon Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Daniel C Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jared Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jaden C Waldner</u>	N/A*
USDA exempted entity from release	N/A*
USDA exempted entity from release	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **FORDS CREEK**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Fords Creek Colony</u>	Grass Range, MT 59032	\$ 404,997.48

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Fords Creek Colony****Addresses on file with USDA for Fords Creek Colony**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Fergus County, Montana	\$404,997	Fords Creek Colony Grass Range, MT 59032
Total	\$404,997	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Fords Creek Colony received payments totaling \$404,997 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$7,950	\$7,950
1996	\$0	\$0	\$8,471	\$8,471
1997	\$0	\$0	\$12,409	\$12,409
1998	\$0	\$0	\$41,086	\$41,086
1999	\$0	\$0	\$42,436	\$42,436
2000	\$0	\$1,589	\$33,130	\$34,719
2001	\$3,759	\$42,694	\$19,813	\$66,266
2002	\$32,412	\$8,628	\$9,918	\$50,958
2003	\$31	\$23,422	\$13,255	\$36,708
2004	\$0	\$0	\$25,176	\$25,176
2005	\$0	\$4,278	\$16,936	\$21,214
2006	\$0	\$0	\$13,191	\$13,191
2007	\$0	\$0	\$11,161	\$11,161
2008	\$0	\$0	\$11,381	\$11,381
2009	\$0	\$0	\$10,936	\$10,936
2010	\$0	\$0	\$10,936	\$10,936
Total	\$36,202	\$80,611	\$288,184	\$404,997

Crop Summary for Fords Creek Colony

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$158,805
Barley Subsidies**	\$107,474
Livestock Subsidies	\$20,733

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration,

USDA subsidy information for **Fords Creek Colony****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$404,997
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$288,184
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$36,202
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$80,611

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$288,184
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$91,201
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$21,872
Direct Payment - Barley	\$22,708
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$46,621
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$4,373
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$4,373
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$83,682
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$34,212
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$49,470
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$41,669
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$17,165
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$24,504
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$188
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$3,761
Deficiency - Barley	\$-3,573
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$4,001
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-4,001
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$67,038
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$29,274
Loan Def. Refund - Barley	\$-446
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$38,562
Loan Def. Refund - Wheat	\$-352
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$33

Interest Penalty Payments

\$33

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$36,202
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$36,202
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$36,202

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$80,611
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$59,878
Crop Disaster - Program	\$55,185
Non-insured Assistance	\$4,693
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$15,783
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$15,783
Livestock Compensation Program	\$4,950

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Fords Creek Colony**

Ownership shares for Fords Creek Colony

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Fords Creek Colony ownership information from 2008 Fergus County, Montana	
<u>Members Of Fords Creek Colony</u>	100.00%

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Members Of Fords Creek Colony**

Recipient's ownership share(s)

Recipient:	County	Percentage Share*
	Fergus County, Montana	100.0 %
<u>Fords Creek Colony</u>	Fergus County, Montana	100.0 %
	Fergus County, Montana	100.0 %

* Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not equal 100 percent.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **FORTY MILE**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Forty Mile Colony</u>	Lodge Grass, MT 59050	\$ 838,825.65

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Forty Mile Colony**

Addresses on file with USDA for Forty Mile Colony

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Big Horn County, Montana	\$838,826	Forty Mile Colony Lodge Grass, MT 59050
Total	\$838,826	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Forty Mile Colony received payments totaling \$838,826 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$11,369	\$11,369
1996	\$0	\$0	\$22,181	\$22,181
1997	\$0	\$0	\$73,570	\$73,570
1998	\$0	\$0	\$37,732	\$37,732
1999	\$0	\$14,694	\$70,911	\$85,605
2000	\$0	\$22,075	\$63,924	\$85,999
2001	\$0	\$35,880	\$65,634	\$101,514
2002	\$2,477	\$70,410	\$18,470	\$91,357
2003	\$0	\$35,199	\$21,278	\$56,477
2004	\$0	\$3,782	\$20,644	\$24,426
2005	\$0	\$94,883	\$27,783	\$122,666
2006	\$0	\$20,840	\$10,397	\$31,236
2007	\$0	\$0	\$19,627	\$19,627
2008	\$0	\$34,911	\$0	\$34,911
2009	\$0	\$0	\$38,804	\$38,804
2010	\$0	\$0	\$1,351	\$1,351
Total	\$2,477	\$332,674	\$503,675	\$838,826

Crop Summary for Forty Mile Colony

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$290,198
Livestock Subsidies	\$230,302
Barley Subsidies**	\$69,612
Corn Subsidies**	\$59,436
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$12,783
Oat Subsidies**	\$1,429

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large

USDA subsidy information for **Forty Mile Colony****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$838,826
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$503,675
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$2,477
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$332,674

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$503,675
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$139,565
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$20,528
Direct Payment - Barley	\$21,236
Direct Payment - Corn	\$5,862
Direct Payment - Oats	\$125
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$91,814
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$7,450
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$4,182
Counter Cyclical Payment - Corn	\$3,268
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$147,411
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$24,143
Production Flexibility - Corn	\$8,771
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$166
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$114,331
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$81,694
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$13,495
Market Loss Assistance - Corn	\$5,107
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$88
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$63,004
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$10,913
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$10,913
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$-470
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$2,519

Deficiency - Barley	\$-2,383
Deficiency - Corn	\$-606
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$9,320
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-9,320
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$65,553
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$6,420
Loan Deficiency - Corn	\$37,034
Loan Deficiency - Oats	\$1,050
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$21,049
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$1,869
Milk Marketing Fees	\$1,869
<i>Total Livestock Indemnity Program</i>	\$49,346
Livestock Indemnity Payments	\$49,346
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$344
Interest Penalty Payments	\$344

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$2,477
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$2,477
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$2,477

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$332,674
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$151,717
Crop Disaster - Program	\$57,443
Non-insured Assistance	\$94,274
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$123,867
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$103,028
American Indian Livestock Feed Program	\$20,840
Livestock Compensation Program	\$57,089

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Forty Mile Colony****Ownership shares for Forty Mile Colony**

Pick year for ownership information

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Forty Mile Colony	
ownership information from 2008	
Big Horn County, Montana	
<u>Darius Hofer</u>	14.29%
<u>Eli Stanley Walter</u>	14.28%
<u>Glen Walter</u>	14.28%
<u>Sam Walter</u>	14.28%
<u>Paul M Walter</u>	14.29%
<u>Henry Walter</u>	14.29%
<u>Eli Walter</u>	14.29%

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **GILDFORD**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc</u>	Gildford, MT 59525	\$ 794,545.92

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc**

Addresses on file with USDA for Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Hill County, Montana	\$785,325	Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc Gildford, MT 59525
Blaine County, Montana	\$2,925	Gilford Colony Inc Gildford, MT 59525
Total	\$794,546	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc received payments totaling \$794,546 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$15,799	\$15,799
1996	\$0	\$0	\$23,705	\$23,705
1997	\$0	\$0	\$25,943	\$25,943
1998	\$12,220	\$0	\$48,940	\$61,160
1999	\$0	\$53,684	\$67,115	\$120,799
2000	\$0	\$47,508	\$74,407	\$121,915
2001	\$2,372	\$60,038	\$47,844	\$110,254
2002	\$-7,684	\$5,822	\$0	\$-1,861
2003	\$8,181	\$29,420	\$43,871	\$81,472
2004	\$0	\$0	\$31,688	\$31,688
2005	\$0	\$3,104	\$13,913	\$17,017
2006	\$9,729	\$0	\$20,657	\$30,386
2007	\$9,662	\$0	\$25,694	\$35,356
2008	\$6,296	\$25,553	\$33,930	\$65,779
2009	\$0	\$0	\$27,494	\$27,494
2010	\$0	\$0	\$27,641	\$27,641
Total	\$40,776	\$225,129	\$528,641	\$794,546

Crop Summary for Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$365,517
Barley Subsidies**	\$103,891
Livestock Subsidies	\$9,629
Oat Subsidies**	\$2,015
Corn Subsidies**	\$1,062
Dry Pea Subsidies	\$907

Counties where payments were made from

County	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010
Hill County, Montana	\$785,325
Blaine County, Montana	\$2,925

Total**\$794,546**

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$794,546
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$528,641
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$40,776
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$225,129

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$528,641
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$222,114
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$55,135
Direct Payment - Barley	\$12,017
Direct Payment - Corn	\$290
Direct Payment - Oats	\$114
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$154,558
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$2,352
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$2,242
Counter Cyclical Payment - Corn	\$110
Counter Cyclical Payment - Oats	\$0
Counter Cyclical Payment - Wheat	\$0
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$177,368
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$49,776
Production Flexibility - Corn	\$412
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$1,077
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$126,103
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$92,804
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$29,518
Market Loss Assistance - Corn	\$215
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$607
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$62,464
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$5,044
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$4,664

Deficiency - Barley	\$128
Deficiency - Corn	\$35
Advance Deficiency - Oats	\$294
Deficiency - Oats	\$-77
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$10,164
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-10,164
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$28,845
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$5,738
Loan Def. Refund - Barley	\$-192
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$22,410
Loan Def. Refund - Wheat	\$-18
Loan Deficiency - Dry Peas	\$907
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$114
Interest Penalty Payments	\$114

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$40,776
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$23,628
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$31,611
CRP - Haying / Grazing	\$-7,984
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$14,477
EQIP - Regular	\$6,296
EQIP - Acp	\$8,181
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$2,672
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$2,672

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$225,129
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$215,500
Crop Disaster - Program	\$214,527
Non-insured Assistance	\$973
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$7,338
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$4,780
American Indian Livestock Feed Program	\$2,558
Livestock Compensation Program	\$2,291

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large

USDA subsidy information for **Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc**

Ownership shares for Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc

Pick year for ownership information 

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc	
ownership information from 2008	
Hill County, Montana	
<u>Members Of Gildford Hutterian Bre</u>	100.00%

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **Members Of Gildford Hutterian Bre**

Recipient's ownership share(s)

Recipient:	County	Percentage Share*
<u>Gildford Hutterian Brethren Inc</u>	Hill County, Montana	100.0 %
	Hill County, Montana	100.0 %
	Hill County, Montana	100.0 %

* Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not equal 100 percent.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

```
810416858NORTH FORK LANDOWNERS ASSOCIATION  
% BETSY HOLYCROSS PO BOX 1603  
COLUMBIA FLS MT59912-160300000431  
19951020012300000010100000020100800020 08000000000000000000000000000000  
00000000000000  
363367704NORTH FORK PRESERVATION ASSOCIATION INC  
80 BEAVER DR POLEBRIDGE MT59928-  
977800000331 19850911537900000010100000020081200020  
1200000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000  
830465552NORTH FORK ROAD COALITION FOR HEALTH AND SAFETY  
% JAN CALDWELL 12533 N FORK RD  
POLEBRIDGE MT59928-977600000433  
2007032000000000000010100000020091200020 12000000000000000000000000000000  
00000000000000 S21 NFRCHS  
810469905NORTH GILDFORD COLONY  
PO BOX 149 GILDFORD MT59525-  
014900004031 199110200029000000101000000 00000 12  
810371123NORTH HAVEN HEIGHTS WATER ASSOCIATION INC  
110 N HAVEN DR KALISPELL MT59901-  
250300001231 19860520025000000010100000020101000020  
1000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000  
203363671NORTH JEFFERSON COUNTY BABE RUTH ASSOCIATION  
% MARK D BRYSON PMB 2112  
MONTANA CITY MT59634-0000000000331  
20060311600000000050100000020091200020 12000000000000000000000000000000  
00000000000000 N63  
810405349NORTH JOPLIN WATER USERS ASSOCIATION  
%JACKIE MAY PO BOX 683  
CHESTER MT59522-068300001232  
19901120035100000010100000020071200020 12000000000000000000000000000000  
00000000000000 C-O JACKIE MAY  
260203891NORTH LINCOLN COUNTY CAN DO INC  
% C/O J TIFFIN HALL 124 RIVERSIDE DR STE 101  
EUREKA MT59917-882600000331  
20071211500000000010120110620100600020 06000000000000000000000000000000  
00000000000000 O50  
200451595NORTH MONTANA WRESTLING CLUB INC  
4305 12TH AVE S GREAT FALLS MT59405-  
802900000332 20040311600000000010100000020091223010  
12000000002276900000000074339 0000000035933 N60  
451619127NORTH PARK CHILDRENS CENTER  
% KATHARIN A KEILER 615 N 19TH ST  
BILLINGS MT59101-142600000331 201106115000000000101000000  
00010 06 P33  
753121410NORTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD TASK FORCE INC  
% RYAN CUNNINGHAM 803 N 24TH ST  
BILLINGS MT59101-103900000331  
20030911500000000010100000020091211020 12000000000000000000000000000000  
00000000000000 S20  
273619223NORTH SHORE NORDIC CLUB  
9236 MT HIGHWAY 35 BIGFORK MT59911-  
660400000331 201106115000000000101000000 00020 03  
N30
```

MELISSA DATA[Sign In](#) | [Cart](#) | [Newsletters](#) | [Search](#) 

1-800-MELISSA

[Products](#)[Solutions](#)[Downloads & Trials](#)[Support](#)[Resources](#)[Lookups](#)[Contact Us](#)[Free Lookups](#)[Home](#) > [Lookups](#) > Nonprofit Organization Lookup[Help](#)

Is your direct mail campaign headed in the right direction?

[find out now](#)Enter a 5-digit ZIP Code,
organization name or 9-digit Tax ID

Use this LookUp to:

- Find information on over 1.4 million registered nonprofit organizations in the United States
- Get organization name, assets, income, and IRS subsection
- Select organization for contact name and address, type of foundation and organization
- Get deductibility status, classification, Form 990, and more

NORTH GILDFORD COLONY**PO BOX 149**Address **GILDFORD, MT 59525-0149**[MapG](#) [MapY](#) [MapV](#)IRS Subsection **501(d) - A religious and apostolic association.**Type of Organization **Corporation**Deductibility **Contributions are NOT deductible**Tax I.D. Number **810469905**Exempt Since **10-1991**Form 990 Requirement **Not required to file 990**Activity #10 **Other religious activities**

Share with others information about this Nonprofit - like the web site, e-mail address, how to donate or any corrections to the data shown.

[Post a Message](#)

Messages

[How Can We Improve this Lookup?](#) | [Send to a Friend](#) | [Lookups Forum](#) | [Terms of Use](#)



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients **1** to **1** of **1** in Montana

Business Name: **NORTH GILDFORD**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	<u>North Gildford Hutterian Brethren</u>	Gildford, MT 59525	\$ 764,378.06

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



USDA subsidy information for **North Gildford Hutterian Brethren**

Addresses on file with USDA for North Gildford Hutterian Brethren

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Blaine County, Montana	\$2,925	North Gildford Colony Inc Gildford, MT 59525
Hill County, Montana	\$761,453	North Gildford Hutterian Brethren Gildford, MT 59525
Total	\$764,378	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



North Gildford Hutterian Brethren received payments totaling \$764,378 from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$11,878	\$11,878
1996	\$0	\$0	\$19,028	\$19,028
1997	\$0	\$0	\$20,562	\$20,562
1998	\$0	\$0	\$54,642	\$54,642
1999	\$0	\$62,634	\$68,439	\$131,073
2000	\$0	\$39,353	\$80,387	\$119,740
2001	\$672	\$70,263	\$45,376	\$116,311
2002	\$95	\$6,332	\$0	\$6,427
2003	\$2,830	\$24,763	\$46,263	\$73,856
2004	\$0	\$0	\$34,463	\$34,463
2005	\$0	\$0	\$18,080	\$18,080
2006	\$7,299	\$0	\$25,800	\$33,099
2007	\$7,332	\$0	\$26,890	\$34,222
2008	\$0	\$3,638	\$30,786	\$34,424
2009	\$0	\$0	\$28,291	\$28,291
2010	\$0	\$0	\$28,283	\$28,283
Total	\$18,228	\$206,983	\$539,167	\$764,378

Crop Summary for North Gildford Hutterian Brethren

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$394,334
Barley Subsidies**	\$88,123
Livestock Subsidies	\$9,629
Dry Pea Subsidies	\$111

Counties where payments were made from

County	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010
Blaine County, Montana	\$2,925
Hill County, Montana	\$761,453
Total	\$764,378

USDA subsidy information for **North Gildford Hutterian Brethren****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$764,378
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$539,167
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$18,228
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$206,983

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$539,167
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$227,457
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$56,574
Direct Payment - Barley	\$15,788
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$155,095
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$3,002
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$3,002
Counter Cyclical Payment - Wheat	\$0
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$172,741
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$35,867
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$136,874
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$96,884
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$19,055
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$77,829
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$3,449
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$3,426
Deficiency - Barley	\$23
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$8,280
Deficiency - Wheat	\$-8,280
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$35,610
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$11,155
Loan Def. Refund - Barley	\$-192
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$24,554
Loan Def. Refund - Wheat	\$-18

Loan Deficiency - Dry Peas	\$111
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$25
Interest Penalty Payments	\$25

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$18,228
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$6,948
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$14,631
CRP - Haying / Grazing	\$-7,684
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$10,608
EQIP - Acp	\$10,608
<i>Emergency Conservation</i>	\$672
Emergency Conservation - Drought	\$672

Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$206,983
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$197,354
Crop Disaster - Program	\$193,419
Non-insured Assistance	\$3,935
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$7,338
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$4,780
American Indian Livestock Feed Program	\$2,558
Livestock Compensation Program	\$2,291

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.


The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **North Gildford Hutterian Brethren**

Ownership shares for North Gildford Hutterian Brethren

Pick year for ownership information 

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
North Gilford Colony Inc	
ownership information from 2009	
Hill County, Montana	
Fred Stahl	N/A*
Lorraine Janet Stahl	N/A*
Gloria Gayle Stahl	N/A*
Rhoda Elaine Stahl	N/A*
Brian M Stahl	N/A*
Johnny P Stahl	N/A*
Jonathan Stahl	N/A*
Susanna P Stahl	N/A*
Ernest P Stahl	N/A*
Richard W Stahl	N/A*
Rosa Susana Stahl	N/A*
Jessica Rose Stahl	N/A*
Calvin S Stahl	N/A*
Evelyna E Stahl	N/A*
Sarah Stahl	N/A*
Phoebe L Stahl	N/A*
Coby L Stahl	N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

MELISSA DATA[Sign In](#) | [Cart](#) | [Newsletters](#) | [Search](#) 

1-800-MELISSA

[Products](#)[Solutions](#)[Downloads & Trials](#)[Support](#)[Resources](#)[Lookups](#)[Contact Us](#)**Free Lookups**[Home](#) > [Lookups](#) > **Nonprofit Organization Lookup**[Help](#)

10% Off All Mailing Lists

Offer ends July 31st; enter "Summer11" at checkout.

[Business](#) | [Consumers](#) | [Occupants](#) | [New Homeowners](#) | [New Movers](#) | [Property Owners](#)[Start now](#)Enter a 5-digit ZIP Code,
organization name or 9-digit Tax ID
[Submit](#)**Use this LookUp to:**

- Find information on over 1.4 million registered nonprofit organizations in the United States
- Get organization name, assets, income, and IRS subsection
- Select organization for contact name and address, type of foundation and organization
- Get deductibility status, classification, Form 990, and more

NORTH GILDFORD COLONY**PO BOX 149**Address **GILDFORD, MT 59525-0149**[MapG](#) [MapY](#) [MapV](#)IRS Subsection **501(d) - A religious and apostolic association.**Type of Organization **Corporation**Deductibility **Contributions are NOT deductible**Tax I.D. Number **810469905**Exempt Since **10-1991**Form 990 Requirement **Not required to file 990**Activity #1 **Other religious activities**

Share with others information about this Nonprofit - like the web site, e-mail address, how to donate or any corrections to the data shown.

[Post a Message](#)

Messages

[How Can We Improve this Lookup?](#) | [Send to a Friend](#) | [Lookups Forum](#) | [Terms of Use](#)



EWG Farm Subsidy Database

Corporate Subsidy Recipients 1 to 1 of 1 in Montana

Business Name: **GLACIER COLONY**

Rank	Name	Location	Subsidy Total 1995-2010
1	Glacier Colony Inc	Cut Bank, MT 59427	\$ 1,535,478.90

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.

USDA subsidy information for **Glacier Colony Inc****Addresses on file with USDA for Glacier Colony Inc**

USDA county office from which subsidies were paid:	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010	Most recent address on file in USDA county office
Toole County, Montana	\$7,654	Glacier Colony Inc Cut Bank, MT 59427
Glacier County, Montana	\$1,521,948	Glacier Colony Inc Cut Bank, MT 59427
Total	\$1,535,479	

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.



Glacier Colony Inc received payments totaling **\$1,535,479** from 1995 through 2010

Year	Conservation Subsidies	Disaster Subsidies	Commodity Subsidies	Total USDA Subsidies 1995-2010
1995	\$0	\$0	\$39,827	\$39,827
1996	\$0	\$0	\$34,049	\$34,049
1997	\$0	\$0	\$34,567	\$34,567
1998	\$0	\$0	\$132,106	\$132,106
1999	\$0	\$3,217	\$144,366	\$147,583
2000	\$0	\$5,122	\$111,644	\$116,766
2001	\$0	\$84,062	\$100,941	\$185,003
2002	\$0	\$7,959	\$74,017	\$81,976
2003	\$0	\$20,497	\$40,409	\$60,906
2004	\$0	\$-161	\$103,071	\$102,910
2005	\$15,624	\$50,340	\$94,228	\$160,192
2006	\$35,238	\$0	\$79,156	\$114,394
2007	\$29,320	\$0	\$40,295	\$69,615
2008	\$15,375	\$41,586	\$40,000	\$96,961
2009	\$10,401	\$0	\$94,551	\$104,952
2010	\$10,384	\$780	\$42,508	\$53,672
Total	\$116,342	\$213,402	\$1,205,735	\$1,535,479

Crop Summary for Glacier Colony Inc

Crop	Payments 1995-2010
Wheat Subsidies**	\$658,684
Barley Subsidies**	\$326,094
Dairy Program Subsidies	\$138,128
Livestock Subsidies	\$12,795
Oat Subsidies**	\$2,774

Counties where payments were made from

County	Subsidy Payments 1995-2010
Toole County, Montana	\$7,654
Glacier County, Montana	\$1,521,948
Total	\$1,535,479

USDA subsidy information for **Glacier Colony Inc****Payment Breakdown**

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Total USDA - Subsidies	\$1,535,479
<i>Subtotal, Farming Subsidies</i>	\$1,205,735
<i>Subtotal, Conservation Programs</i>	\$116,342
<i>Subtotal, Disaster Payments</i>	\$213,402

Commodity subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Farming Subsidies	\$1,205,735
<i>Total Direct Payments</i>	\$319,570
Direct Program Payments - 2008 Farm Bill	\$80,048
Direct Payment - Barley	\$53,281
Direct Payment - Oats	\$158
Direct Payment - Wheat	\$186,083
<i>Total Counter Cyclical Payments</i>	\$27,801
Counter Cyclical Payment - Barley	\$27,801
<i>Production Flexibility Contracts</i>	\$305,347
Production Flexibility - Barley	\$73,554
Production Flexibility - Oats	\$667
Production Flexibility - Wheat	\$231,126
<i>Mkt. Loss Asst. - Commodity Crops</i>	\$139,888
Market Loss Assistance - Barley	\$7,717
Market Loss Assistance - Oats	\$161
Market Loss Assistance - Wheat	\$132,010
<i>Market Loss Assistance - Non-commodity</i>	\$16,001
Market Loss Assistance - Dairy	\$16,001
<i>Deficiency Payments</i>	\$0
Advance Deficiency - Barley	\$19,926
Deficiency - Barley	\$-19,926
Advance Deficiency - Oats	\$309
Deficiency - Oats	\$-309
Advance Deficiency - Wheat	\$19,592

Deficiency - Wheat	\$-19,592
<i>Loan Deficiency Payments</i>	\$274,994
Loan Deficiency - Barley	\$163,741
Loan Deficiency - Oats	\$1,788
Loan Deficiency - Wheat	\$109,465
<i>Total Dairy Program</i>	\$122,126
Milk Income Loss Contract Payment	\$81,717
Milk Income Loss Transitional Payment	\$24,403
Milk Marketing Fees	\$3,027
Dairy Economic Loss Assistance Program	\$12,979
<i>Misc. Farm - Subsidies</i>	\$7
Interest Penalty Payments	\$7

Conservation subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Conservation Programs	\$116,342
<i>Conservation Reserve Program</i>	\$110,465
CRP - Annual Land Rental	\$113,181
CRP - Haying / Grazing	\$-2,716
<i>Env. Quality Incentive Program</i>	\$5,877
EQIP - Regular	\$5,877


Disaster subsidy breakdown

Subsidy Program	Total Payments 1995-2010
Subtotal, Disaster Payments	\$213,402
<i>Misc. Disaster Payments</i>	\$200,607
Crop Disaster - Program	\$169,869
Non-insured Assistance	\$2,936
Quality Losses Program	\$27,802
<i>Livestock Disaster / Emergency</i>	\$4,052
Emergency Livestock Feed Assistance	\$3,272
Livestock Forage Disaster Program	\$780
Livestock Compensation Program	\$8,743

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

USDA subsidy information for **Glacier Colony Inc****Ownership shares for Glacier Colony Inc**Pick year for ownership information 

USDA county office/Ownership:	Percentage Share*
Glacier Colony Inc ownership information from 2010 Glacier County, Montana	
Deborah Waldner	N/A*
Luke P Entz	N/A*
Teresa P Wurz	N/A*
Anna T Entz	N/A*
Katherine Wurz	N/A*
Susie J Wurz	N/A*
Joseph J Wurz	N/A*
Jacob D Waldner	N/A*
Paul P Entz	N/A*
Justina J Wurz	N/A*
Elizabeth Entz	N/A*
Rachel P Entz	N/A*
Peter J Entz	N/A*
Magdalena Entz	N/A*
Frieda D Waldner	N/A*
David J Waldner	N/A*
Judith Waldner	N/A*
Peter J Wurz	N/A*
Peter J Waldner	N/A*
Julia P Wurz	N/A*
Linda Waldner	N/A*
Theodore P Entz	N/A*
Daniel D Wurz	N/A*
David M Waldner	N/A*
Jacob J Wurz	N/A*
Arnold J Wurz	N/A*

<u>Maria D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Paul P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Zaharias M Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Maria Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Hannah M Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Sussie P Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara S Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Julia P Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Stephen J Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Marcus D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Danella D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Naomi Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rebecca M Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Rebecca Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Rebecca Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Katherine Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara M Mandel</u>	N/A*
<u>Joanne Mandel</u>	N/A*
<u>Kristia P Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Christine P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Stephanie Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Jacob P Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Daniel J Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Michael J Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Martha E Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Joshua E Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Dora Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Peter A Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Benjamin A Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Michael M Mandel</u>	N/A*
<u>Joseph T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Paul D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Caleb P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Martha D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Peter P Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Helen D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Tom J Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Theodore T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Anna D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Paulina T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Esther J Wurz</u>	N/A*

<u>Diane P Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Matilda T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>David D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Rebecca A Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Tabitha P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Matthew J Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Arnie A Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Martin T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Lisa D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Abigail Z Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Anita B Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Laura P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth M Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Rebecca M Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Priscilla T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Elizabeth Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Lois J Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Darius A Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Maria P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Josephine D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Lydia J Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Leona D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Dora D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Barbara Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Zacharias P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Clara J Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Karen D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Deborah T Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Mark D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Andrew S Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Timothy P Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Daniel D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Maria Z Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>James A Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Anna B Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Peter Z Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Theresa M Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Johanna D Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Walter J Entz</u>	N/A*
<u>Lydia M Wurz</u>	N/A*
<u>Caroline D Waldner</u>	N/A*
<u>Noah Z Waldner</u>	N/A*

Hannah D Waldner

N/A*

Leann J Entz

N/A*

* According to USDA percentage shares for ownership may not reflect share of subsidy payments. EWG review shows that share information on file with USDA may not always equal 100 percent. Most recent percentage share information is from 2008. Future release of this information was barred by law in the 2008 Farm Bill.

Note: The information on conservation spending for 2010 is incomplete due to missing data from USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service. Payment data currently are not included for the Environmental Quality Incentives Program, but will be added once NRCS makes it available.

The information provided for the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) provides an inaccurate picture of how WRP payments are distributed. USDA's Natural Resource Conservation Service uses title companies as intermediaries to finalize wetlands easements under the Wetlands Reserve Program. As a result, the data provided to us shows large sums of money going to these title companies. In reality, the payments are ultimately distributed to landowners participating in the WRP.

Unfortunately, NRCS has not provided the data to show where these farms and wetlands are located or which farmers or landowners are enrolling in the program, so EWG is unable to allocate these large sums of money to individuals beyond the title companies. Therefore, these companies skew the conservation rankings and payment concentration, which EWG cannot avoid unless and until NRCS makes available the additional farm attribution data. Therefore, we have not included WRP payments in the 2010 data update.

Finally, we have separated data on farm commodity, disaster and conservation payments in order to provide a more accurate picture of top recipients and concentration of payments among the three main categories of USDA programs.